

Interview SummaryApplication No.
08/660,418

Applicant(s)

L. F. Ludwig et al

#14/F

Examiner

Anderson I. Chen

Group Art Unit

2782

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anderson I. Chen (3) _____(2) Craig P. Opperman (4) _____Date of Interview Oct 8, 1998Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 23, 27, 28, and 39

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner called the Applicant to discuss a possible allowable independent claim. The suggestion was made of the independent claim with the limitation of claims 7 & 8 with the directory limitation would be an allowable independent claim. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest individually or in combination a method of teleconferencing by providing a directory of the AV devices and each device = s associated capabilities and processing a call based on the capabilities of the participants to allow participation based on the extent of the capabilities available to the participant. The Applicant would draft the proposed claim which the Examiner would enter by Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.